



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/538,466	03/29/2000	Daniel F White	8755.00	6699
75	08/08/2002		•	
Paul W Martin			EXAMINER	
NCR Corporation 101 West Schar	ntz ECD-2		GARG, YOGESH C	
Dayton, OH 45479-0001		•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3625	
			DATE MAILED: 08/08/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/538,466	WHITE, DANIEL F				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
`	Yogesh C Garg	3625				
- The MAILING DATE of this c mmu. Period for Reply	nication appears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD I THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUN - Extensions of time may be available under the provision after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this com - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum is - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for repl - Any reply received by the Office later than three months earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	NICATION. Is of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a repulation. (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty estatutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTI by will, by statute, cause the application to become ABA	ly be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. HS from the mailing date of this communication. NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1) Responsive to communication(s) f	filed on <u>29 <i>March 2000</i></u> .					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL.	2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-6 is/are pending in the	application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the	ne Examiner.					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>29 March 2000</u> is/are: a)□ accepted or b)⊠ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
	on for a list of the certified copies not re					
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.						
Attachment(s)						
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) 	PTO-948) 5) Notice of Inf	nmary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) ormal Patent Application (PTO-152)				
.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 04-01)	Office Action Summary	Part of Paper No. 3				

Application/Control Number: 09/538,466

Art Unit: 3625

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

1. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a) because they fail to show the features as described in the specification. The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered. Any structural detail that is essential for a proper understanding of the disclosed invention should be shown in the drawing. MPEP § 608.02(d).

The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5) because they do not include the reference sign(s) mentioned in the description.

Three sheets of Drawings with 4 figures have been received. None of these figures match the description given in the disclosure, page 2, under heading, "BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS". FIG.1 depicts a cash register and FIGS. 2-4 disclose access levels of the employees and information about their fingerprints. Copies of these drawings are enclosed with the Office action for your ready reference.

A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Objections

Claims 1-2 are objected to because of the following informalities: In lines 8 and 9 on page 15; it appears that the term "code" should follow the term "duplicate".
 Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1, 3 recite the limitation "codes" in line 4 on page 15, and in line 19 on page 15. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim. Since claim 2 is dependent on claim 1 it inherits the deficiency of claim 1.

Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

With regards to claims 1-2, it is indefinite and unclear as how the items in a rack can impart functionality in a system.

With regards to claims 1 and 3, the phrase, "different remote location" renders the claim indefinite as there is no prior mention of a "remote location" with which any later remote location can be compared to denote as "different". Since claim 2 is dependent on claim 1 it inherits the deficiency of claim 1.

With regards to claims1- 4, it is indefinite and unclear as with what other indicia the codes are being matched.

Application/Control Number: 09/538,466

Art Unit: 3625

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 1-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cupps et al. (US Patent 5,991,739).

Application/Control Number: 09/538,466

Art Unit: 3625

and Customer name are entered and it is inherent to use them as identification marks on the invoices to collect the ordered item. In the application, unique code and attached labels refers to identification mark on the invoices to collect the ordered merchandise/Food items. While ordering items on the Internet on web page in Cupps, the order number or the customer's name refer to unique code generated and then delivered to the user's computer at a remote location. The order number available to the user corresponds to the duplicate code in the application and it is inherent that a user will carry with him the identification mark like order number or user's name to the Take-Out service, which is a station to collect the ordered items and use it to identify his ordered merchandise/food item. Attachment of invoices to the item is inherent and the invoices include the unique code number in the form of order number or name, to identify the ordered items and make payment while collecting the food items/merchandise)

Cupps does not disclose if the checkout station is an unmanned station which can sound alarms if the codes do not match and read machine-readable format. Official Notice is taken of both the concept and benefits of unmanned checkout station which can also sound alarm and read machine-readable format. Robotic stations and Automated Teller Machines as admitted prior art by the applicant (see page 9, lines 2-5) were notoriously well known at the time of the invention to check identity, read machine-readable format and sound alarms if the codes do not match. Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of an ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to combine the concept of unmanned checkout station which can read machine-readable

Application/Control Number: 09/538,466 Page 6

Art Unit: 3625

format and sound alarms if the codes do not match. Doing so would help to cut down the number of workers hence cost of operation and increase the profits.

Conclusion

- 6. The prior art made of record and considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
- (i). Press release dated Apr. 8, 1998 and copies of Web pages (total 7 pages) on Food.com web site, and Press releases dated July 10, 1996, March 26, 1997 and March 15, 1998 and copies of web pages (total 11 pages) on Waiter.com web site teach placing orders via web pages on Internet and collecting ordered items from Take-out service station.
- (ii) Tice, Carol, "Web Ordering May Alter Role of Distributors", National Home Center News, v 24, n 10, p23-25, June 22, 1998 teaches the use of Web for ordering merchandise.
- (iii) US patents 5,845,263 to Camaisa et al., and 6,236,974 to Kolawa et al. disclose ordering on Internet from remote locations.
- (iv) US Patent 6,409,081 to Nugent, Jr. discloses a method of operating a selfservice checkout terminal.
- (v) US Patent 6,102,162 to Teicher teaches an Automated Self-Service Cafeteria System.

Application/Control Number: 09/538,466 Page 7

Art Unit: 3625

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Yogesh C Garg whose telephone number is 703-306-0252. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (8:30-4:00).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Wynn W Coggins can be reached on 703-308-1344. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-305-7687 for regular communications and 703-305-7687 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1113.

Yogesh C Garg Examiner Art Unit 3625

YCG August 2, 2002

SUPERVISORY PATERO EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CLASSER 9500